

**NovaGold Resources Inc.**  
**Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**May 31, 2009**  
**(Unaudited)**

# Management's Discussion and Analysis

## General

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of NovaGold Resources Inc. ("NovaGold" or "the Company") is dated July 14, 2009 and provides an analysis of NovaGold's unaudited financial results for the quarter ended May 31, 2009 compared to the same period in the previous year. At July 14, 2009, the Company had 183.8 million common shares issued and outstanding.

The following information should be read in conjunction with the Company's May 31, 2009 unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes and with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended November 30, 2008, which were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada ("Canadian GAAP"). The accounting policies have been consistently followed in preparation of these financial statements except that the Company has adopted the CICA standards outlined in the new accounting pronouncements section below effective for the Company's first quarter commencing December 1, 2008. All amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated. Additional information related to NovaGold is available on the Company's website at [www.novagold.net](http://www.novagold.net) or on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

NovaGold is a precious metals company engaged in the exploration of mineral properties in North America. The Company has a portfolio of mineral properties located in Alaska, U.S.A., and British Columbia, Canada. The Donlin Creek project is held by a limited liability company owned equally by NovaGold and Barrick Gold U.S. Inc. ("Barrick"). The Galore Creek project is held by a partnership owned equally by NovaGold and Teck Resources Limited ("Teck").

During the three-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company announced the results of a feasibility study completed by AMEC Americas Limited for the Donlin Creek project. Based on the feasibility study, the Donlin Creek mine would be a year-round, open-pit operation with a mill throughput of 53,500 tonnes per day and an anticipated mine life of 21 years, using the current 29.3 million ounce gold reserve base. Significant exploration potential remains in the Donlin Creek district. During the first 5 full years gold production is expected to average 1.6 million ounces annually at an average total cash cost of US\$394/oz, placing Donlin Creek costs in the lowest quartile of current gold producers. The lowest 25th percentile for current global industry total cash costs is approximately US\$400/oz. Gold production for the first 12 full years is expected to average nearly 1.5 million ounces annually at an average total cash cost of US\$444/oz. Life of mine production is estimated at an average of 1.25 million ounces of gold annually, for total recovered gold of 26.2 million ounces. These production levels would make Donlin Creek one of the world's largest gold producing mines.

## Results of operations

For the three-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company reported a net loss of \$4.8 million (or \$0.03 basic and diluted loss per share) compared to net loss of \$23.2 million (or \$0.22 basic and diluted loss per share) for the corresponding period in 2008. The \$18.4 million reduction in losses when comparing the second quarters of 2009 and 2008 is primarily due to the following:

- The Company focused its exploration efforts mainly on the Donlin Creek project and had \$4.4 million of exploration expense compared to \$16.9 million which relates to exploration activities in the Donlin Creek, Galore Creek, and Rock Creek projects in the same period in 2008.
- A \$16.1 foreign exchange gain in the second quarter of 2009 compared to a loss of \$0.7 million in the same period of 2008 due primarily to the effect on the Company's US dollar denominated liabilities of the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the US dollar.
- The reduction was offset by a \$4.2 million increase in non-cash stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes option pricing model compared to 2008.

For the six-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company reported a net loss of \$33.3 million (or \$0.21 basic and diluted loss per share) compared to net earnings of \$1.0 million (or \$0.01 basic and diluted earnings per share) for the corresponding period in 2008. The \$31.3 million increase in losses is primarily due to the following:

- In 2008 there were two significant recoveries with no comparables in 2009: a \$16.3 million suspension cost recovery at Galore Creek, net of related non controlling interest, and a \$15.3 million gain on disposal of shares of US Gold Corporation;
- A \$12.4 million interest and accretion expense for the convertible debt and bridge loan in 2009 with no comparable charge in 2008;

## Results of operations (cont.)

- A \$15.5 million charge for project care and maintenance was for the Galore Creek and Rock Creek projects in 2009 compared with \$3.8 million in 2008 for the Galore Creek project. The increase is due to the inclusion of the Rock Creek project in care and maintenance since late November 2008; and
- The increase in expenses was offset by a \$11.4 million increase in foreign exchange gain compared to 2008.

Revenues for the three-month period ended May 31, 2009 were \$0.4 million compared to \$0.3 million in the corresponding period in 2008. The Company generates modest revenues from land and gravel sales and gold royalties.

Revenues for the six-month period ended May 31, 2009 were \$0.8 million compared to \$2.0 million in the corresponding period in 2008. The decrease was due to higher revenue from land sales and higher interest income for the six months in 2008.

Net expenses and other items for the three-month period ended May 31, 2009 were \$9.1 million compared to \$25.6 million for the same period in 2008. During the quarter, the Company recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$16.1 million compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$0.7 million for the same period in 2008. The gain in 2009 is mainly a result of the strengthening Canadian dollar against the US dollar during the second quarter of 2009 on US dollar denominated convertible notes and the Donlin Creek promissory note. The Company expended \$4.4 million on exploration activities during the quarter compared to \$16.9 million for the same period in 2008. The Company also expended \$6.9 million on care and maintenance activities at the Rock Creek and Galore Creek projects during the quarter compared to \$3.8 million in 2008. Operations at the Rock Creek project were suspended in 2008 and placed into care and maintenance with all costs being expensed as incurred. The Company recorded an expense of \$6.0 million and \$1.8 million for stock-based compensation during the same period in 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company granted 4,543,750 options to employees, consultants and directors.

Net expenses and other items for the six-month period ended May 31, 2009 were \$40.9 million compared to \$2.2 million for the same period in 2008. During the six months, the Company had lower exploration expenditures of \$10.8 million compared to \$20.8 million in 2008, and recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$11.6 million compared to a gain of \$0.2 million in 2008. The offsets were the increase in interest and accretion and project care and maintenance, totalling \$27.9 million in the first six months in 2009 compared to \$3.8 million in 2008. The interest and accretion relates to the convertible notes and bridge loan and the care and maintenance relates to the Rock Creek and Galore Creek projects. A significant factor to the net gain recorded in 2008 is the \$16.3 million suspension cost recovery at Galore Creek, net of related non-controlling interest at the Galore Creek project. During the corresponding six months period of 2008, some of the costs estimated at the November 30, 2007 year end for suspension at Galore Creek were reversed as the Galore Creek Mining Corporation ("GCMC") was able to negotiate a favorable purchase of contractor's equipment remaining at the construction site, the costs of which were capitalized.

For the three-month period ended May 31, 2009 the Company recorded a future income tax ("FIT") recovery of \$1.2 million, which resulted mainly from additional losses from exploration expenditures incurred in Canada and the benefit of an income tax reduction on long-term tax rates in British Columbia.

## Selected financial data

The following unaudited quarterly information is prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP.

### Quarterly information

Fiscal quarters, prior to the quarters ended May 31, 2009 and November 30, 2008, have all been restated to conform to the change in accounting policy and the future income tax impact. The fiscal quarters for the periods ended are in thousands of Canadian dollars, except per share amounts.

	5/31/09 \$	2/28/09 \$	11/30/08 \$	8/31/08 \$	5/31/08 \$	2/29/08 \$	11/30/07 \$	8/31/07 \$
Net revenues	365	422	856	1,427	275	1,712	1,730	2,173
Earnings (loss) for the quarter	(4,769)	(28,483)	(195,263)	818	(23,185)	24,163	(53,467)	(32,011)
Earnings (loss) per share – basic	(0.03)	(0.20)	(1.83)	0.01	(0.22)	0.23	(0.58)	(0.33)
Earnings (loss) per share – diluted	(0.03)	(0.20)	(1.83)	0.01	(0.22)	0.22	(0.58)	(0.33)

The Company carries out exploration activities in Canada and the United States. The Company's exploration activities are seasonal in nature and programs tend to start late in the spring and complete by November. Factors that can cause

## Selected financial data (cont.)

fluctuations in the Company's quarterly results include the timing of stock option grants, foreign exchange gains or losses related to the Company's US dollar denominated debt when the Canadian dollar exchange rate fluctuates and one-time events, such as the suspension of construction activities at the Galore Creek project or the suspension of operations at the Rock Creek project. During the last quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company suspended construction at the Galore Creek project recording an estimated suspension cost of \$93.1 million of which \$30.4 million was recovered during the quarter ended February 29, 2008 as a result of GCMC's purchase of contractor's equipment remaining at the construction site. During the quarter ended August 31, 2008, the Company recorded a \$33.5 million gain on the sale of its NovaGreenPower subsidiary to AltaGas Ltd. During the last quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company recorded an impairment loss on the Rock Creek project of \$160.9 million. During the quarter ended February 28, 2009, the Company incurred a total of \$16.8 million in interest, accretion and care and maintenance. During the quarter ended May 31, 2009, the Company had a foreign exchange gain of \$16.1 million. The Company's properties are not yet in production; consequently, the Company believes that its loss (and consequent loss per share) is not a primary concern to investors in the Company.

## Liquidity and capital resources

At May 31, 2009, the Company had \$56.1 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of which \$1.5 million was held by GCMC for the Galore Creek project. The Company expended \$31.2 million on net operating activities during the six-month period ended May 31, 2009 compared with expenditures of \$66.8 million for the same period in 2008. Major changes include the recovery of a portion of the Galore Creek project's suspension-related costs that were accrued at November 30, 2007, and the corresponding decreases during the first six months of 2008 in the short-term and long-term suspension liabilities totalling approximately \$55.4 million related to a re-estimation of the suspension costs and the negotiation of the purchase of certain contractors' equipment left on site during the shutdown of Galore Creek construction.

In the six-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company generated \$104.1 million in cash flows from financing activities compared with \$139.3 million in 2008. In January 2009, the Company completed private placements totaling US\$75 million by issuing 57,692,308 units ("the Units") at a price of US\$1.30 per Unit. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant exercisable into one share of the Company at a price of US\$1.50 prior to the fourth anniversary of the closing date. In addition, no cash was expended to repay the Company's US\$20 million bridge loan as it was fully converted into 15,762,565 shares of the Company at a rate of \$1.53 per share and 1,615,000 warrants at an exercisable price of \$1.53 per share were exercised for net proceeds of \$2.5 million. Teck also funded a total of \$8.1 million for the Galore Creek project.

In the six-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company expended \$29.1 million on investing activities compared with \$132.8 million in the same period in 2008. A total of \$25.2 million was paid out mainly on Rock Creek related payables outstanding at the year end. The Company also funded \$6.2 million for its share of exploration costs at the Donlin Creek project and \$1.6 million was expended by GCMC on exploration and development activities at Galore Creek. The Company also sold its holdings in Alexco Resource Corp. ("Alexco") for net proceeds of \$3.8 million.

The Company has no material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual obligated cash flow requirements, excluding operating leases, as at May 31, 2009 are as follows:

*in thousands of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise specified*

	Total \$	< 1 year \$	1-2 years \$	2-3 years \$	3-4 years \$	4-5 years \$	Thereafter \$
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	8,593	8,593	-	-	-	-	-
Capital leases	2,190	684	726	740	40	-	-
Asset retirement obligations	21,638	483	-	-	-	-	21,155
Convertible notes – interest (a)	US 29,608	US 3,483	US 5,225	US 5,225	US 5,225	US 5,225	US 5,225
Convertible notes – holders option (a)	US 95,000	-	-	-	US 95,000	-	-

- (a) The Convertible notes ("Notes") mature on May 1, 2015; the holders of the Notes will have the right to require the Company to repurchase all or part of their Notes on May 1, 2013 and upon certain fundamental corporate changes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of such Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

At May 31, 2009, the Company's aggregate operating leases totaled \$5.8 million. These operating leases include the Company's leased head office location and certain office equipment ranging from one to ten years.

## Liquidity and capital resources (cont.)

The future minimum payments under operating leases at May 31, 2009 are approximately as follows:

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	<b>Operating leases \$</b>
2009	433
2010	758
2011	828
2012	629
2013	571
Thereafter	2,600
<b>Totals</b>	<b>5,819</b>

The Company's cash balances are largely kept in bank money market accounts and investments, if any, are made into bank and non-asset backed commercial papers all with the two highest-possible investment ratings and with terms of 90 days or less that can be easily liquidated.

## Outlook

At May 31, 2009, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$56.1 million and working capital of \$48.9 million. At November 30, 2008, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$12.2 million and a working capital deficiency of \$20.2 million.

During the six-month period ended May 31, 2009, the Company completed private placements totaling US\$75 million by issuing 57,692,308 Units at a price of US\$1.30 per Unit. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant exercisable into one share of the Company at a price of US\$1.50 prior to the fourth anniversary of the closing date. In addition, the Company's US\$20 million bridge loan was converted into 15,762,565 shares at a rate of \$1.53 per share and 1,615,000 warrants at \$1.53 per share were exercised for net proceeds of \$2.5 million. The Company also sold its holdings in Alexco for net proceeds of \$3.8 million.

The Company's remaining share of the 2009 budget to be funded at the Donlin Creek project is approximately US\$7 million, the majority of which is planned to be used for permitting activities at the project. The Rock Creek project is in care and maintenance, pending a review of whether to recommence start-up at the project. The current remaining care and maintenance budget at Rock Creek for the balance of 2009 is approximately US\$7 million. The budget for care and maintenance and optimization activities at the Galore Creek project for 2009 is \$16 million, however, NovaGold is not required under its revised agreement with Teck to fund any of those costs.

The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Alaska Gold Company ("AGC") has entered into a settlement agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to resolve alleged violations of the Clean Water Act ("CWA") at the Rock Creek mine during the period from April 2007 to September 2008. EPA alleged that during the period between April 2007 and September 2008, AGC's stormwater discharges at the Rock Creek mine exceeded CWA turbidity standards (i.e., cloudiness of water) on several occasions in streams near the mine. The EPA and AGC settlement agreement resolves this matter. As a part of the agreement with EPA, AGC has agreed to pay the federal government US\$883,628. Payment of the settlement amount will likely occur in August 2009.

On July 2, 2009, AGC received a Notice of Violation ("NOV") from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation ("ADEC"). In the NOV, ADEC alleges that AGC violated the terms of its Waste Management Permit at the Rock Creek mine by failing to comply with the water treatment and injection requirements of the mine's Temporary Closure Plan. The NOV requires that AGC submit a plan to ADEC by July 15, 2009, detailing how AGC intends to comply with the requirements of its Temporary Closure Plan. AGC is currently in ongoing dialogue with ADEC and intends to meet this deadline. If AGC cannot comply with the requirements of the Temporary Closure Plan, financial penalties may be assessed.

The Company does not plan to commence development or construction at its Donlin Creek and Galore Creek projects in 2009, nor does it currently plan to recommence the start-up process at the Rock Creek project. However, the Company will need external financing to develop and construct its properties and to fund the exploration and development of its other mineral properties in future years. Sources of external financing may include bank borrowings and future debt and equity offerings. There can be no assurance that financing will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. The failure to obtain financing could have a material adverse effect on the Company's growth strategy and/or results of operations and financial condition. The

## Outlook (cont.)

mineral properties that the Company is likely to develop are expected to require significant capital expenditures. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure the financing necessary to retain its rights to, or to begin or sustain production at, any of its mineral properties.

## Related party transactions

During the six months ended May 31, 2009, the Company provided exploration and management services totalling \$90,500 to Alexco, a related party having two common directors, and exploration and management services totalling US\$383,000 to the Donlin Creek LLC. These transactions were in the normal course of business and are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount agreed to by the parties. At May 31, 2009, the Company had \$42,000 receivable from Alexco.

In March 2009, the Company closed an agreement with Mantra Mining Inc., a related party having one common director, to sell 100% of its interest in five properties in Alaska totaling approximately 397,680 acres of Alaska State mining claims. In consideration for the sale of the five properties, the Company received 3,125,000 shares of Mantra common stock.

## Financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments are exposed to certain financial risks, including currency risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, interest risk and price risk.

### (a) Currency risk

The Company is exposed to the financial risk related to the fluctuation of foreign exchange rates. The Company operates in Canada and the United States and a portion of its expenses are incurred in US dollars. A significant change in the currency exchange rates between the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar could have an effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The Company has not hedged its exposure to currency fluctuations. At May 31, 2009, the Company is exposed to currency risk through the following assets and liabilities denominated in US dollars:

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	<b>May 31, 2009</b> <b>US\$</b>	<b>November 30, 2008</b> <b>US\$</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	16,272	723
Reclamation deposits	6,845	6,845
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(4,278)	(21,972)
Amounts payable to Barrick	(56,848)	(55,403)
Bridge loan	-	(18,954)
Convertible notes	(53,339)	(51,822)

Based on the above net exposures as at May 31, 2009, and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% depreciation or appreciation of the Canadian dollar against the US dollar would result in an increase/decrease of \$9.1 million in the Company's net earnings.

### (b) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations.

The Company's cash equivalents and short-term investments are held through large Canadian financial institutions. Short-term and long-term investments (including those presented as part of cash and cash equivalents) are composed of financial instruments issued by Canadian banks and companies with high investment-grade ratings. These investments mature at various dates over the current operating period. The Company's GST and other receivables consist of general sales tax due from the Federal Government of Canada and amounts due from related parties.

The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the financial statements, net of any allowances for losses, represents the Company's maximum exposure to credit risk.

## **Financial instruments (cont.)**

### (c) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they come due. The Company manages liquidity risk through the management of its capital structure and financial leverage. Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and coupon interest on the convertible notes are due within one year from the balance sheet date.

### (d) Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The risk that the Company will realize a loss as a result of a decline in the fair value of the short-term investments included in cash and cash equivalents is limited because these investments, although available-for-sale, are generally held to maturity. In respect of financial liabilities, the bridge loan, convertible notes and capital leases are not subject to interest rate risk because they are at fixed rates. The promissory note owed to Barrick is variable with the US prime rate. Based on the amount owing on the promissory note as at May 31, 2009, and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 1% change in the US prime rate would result in an increase/decrease of \$0.7 million in the interest accrued by the Company per annum.

### (e) Price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices. The Company closely monitors commodity prices to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken. The Company does not have any hedging or other commodity-based risks respecting its operations.

## **New accounting pronouncements**

The accounting policies followed by the Company are set out in note 3 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2008, and have been consistently followed in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements except that the Company has adopted the following CICA standards effective December 1, 2008.

### **Inventories**

In March 2007, the CICA issued the new Handbook Section 3031, "Inventories", which replaces Section 3030, "Inventories". The new Section mentions that inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and the net realizable value. It provides guidelines on determining cost, prohibiting going forward the use of the last in, first out method ("LIFO"), and requires the reversal of a previous write-down when the value of inventories increases. On December 1, 2008, the Company adopted these changes, with no impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### **Goodwill and intangible assets**

The CICA issued the new Handbook Section 3064, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets", which replaces Section 3062, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets". The new standard establishes revised standards for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of goodwill and intangible assets. The new standard also provides guidance for the treatment of pre-production and start-up costs and requires that these costs be expensed as incurred if the costs do not meet the definition of property, plant and equipment. On December 1, 2008, the Company adopted these changes, with no impact on its consolidated financial statements.

## **Critical accounting estimates**

The most critical accounting estimates upon which the Company's financial status depends are those requiring estimates of the recoverability of its capitalized mineral property expenditures and intangible assets, impairment of long-lived assets and the amount of future reclamation obligations.

### **Mineral properties and development costs**

During the year ended November 30, 2008, the Company changed its accounting policy relating to mineral property exploration expenditures and it now expenses exploration expenditures when incurred. See "Changes in accounting policies" or note 2 of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2008 for a description and the effects of the change.

## Critical accounting estimates (cont.)

When it has been established that a mineral deposit is commercially mineable and an economic analysis has been completed, the costs subsequently incurred to develop a mine on the property prior to the start of mining operations are capitalized and will be amortized against future production following commencement of commercial production, or written off if the property is sold, allowed to lapse or abandoned. The recoverability of the amounts recorded in the Galore Creek and Rock Creek projects have been assessed as at November 30, 2008. The Rock Creek project was impaired at year end and an impairment loss was proportionately allocated to mineral properties and development costs.

The acquisition of title to mineral properties is a complicated and uncertain process. The Company has taken steps, in accordance with industry standards, to verify mineral properties in which it has an interest. Although the Company has made efforts to ensure that legal title to its properties is properly recorded in the name of the Company, there can be no assurance that such title will ultimately be secured.

### Intangible assets

Management of the Company reviews and evaluates the carrying value of each intangible asset for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the related asset may not be recoverable. If the total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized and assets are written down to fair value, which is normally determined using the discounted value of future cash flows. Where estimates of future net cash flows are not available and where other conditions suggest impairment, management assesses whether carrying value can be recovered by considering alternative methods of determining fair value. When it is determined that an intangible asset is impaired, it is written down to its estimated fair value.

The life of the power transmission rights was determined based on an October 2006 feasibility study for the Galore Creek project and an estimate for reclamation.

### Reclamation costs

The amounts recorded for reclamation costs are estimates based on independent third party engineering studies and management's assessment of the work that is anticipated to remediate old mine workings of the Company's Nome Gold and Murray Brook sites, exploration and road remediation at the Galore Creek project, and the Rock Creek mine site. An asset retirement obligation ("ARO") is recognized initially at fair value with a corresponding increase in the related asset. The ARO is accreted to full value over time through periodic charges to operations. The Galore Creek reclamation obligation has not been discounted due to the uncertainty of timing of when the costs will be incurred. Actual costs incurred during reclamation and the timing of when the costs will be incurred could be materially different from these estimates.

The Company's accounting policies are described in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2008.

## Risk factors

### **Ability to continue its exploration activities and any future development activities, and to continue as a going concern, will depend in part on its ability to commence production and generate material revenues or to obtain suitable financing**

NovaGold has limited financial resources. The Company intends to fund its plan of operations from working capital, the proceeds of financings and revenue from land and gravel sales. In the future, the Company's ability to continue its exploration and development activities, if any, will depend in part on the Company's ability to re-commence production at Rock Creek, commence production at one or more of its other mineral properties and generate material revenues, to obtain external financing or to divest its interest in non-core assets.

There can be no assurance that the Company will re-commence production at Rock Creek, commence production at any of its other mineral properties or generate sufficient revenues to meet its obligations as they become due or obtain necessary financing on acceptable terms, if at all. The Company's failure to meet its ongoing obligations on a timely basis could result in the loss or substantial dilution of the Company's interests (as existing or as proposed to be acquired) in its properties. In addition, should the Company incur significant losses in future periods, it may be unable to continue as a going concern, and realization of assets and settlement of liabilities in other than the normal course of business may be at amounts materially different than the Company's estimates.

## **Risk factors (cont.)**

### **Exploration and development**

Mineral exploration and development involves a high degree of risk and few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. The Company has only defined or delineated reserves at its Rock Creek and Donlin Creek projects and none of its properties are currently under development. The future development of any properties found to be economically feasible will require obtaining permits, financing and construction. The Company is subject to all the risks associated with establishing new mining operations. In addition, there is no assurance that the Company's mineral exploration activities will result in any discoveries of new bodies of ore. There is also no assurance that if further mineralization is discovered that the ore body would be economical for commercial production. Discovery of mineral deposits is dependent upon a number of factors and significantly influenced by the technical skill of the exploration personnel involved. The commercial viability of a mineral deposit is also dependent upon a number of factors which are beyond the Company's control. Some of these factors are the attributes of the deposit, commodity prices, government policies and regulation and environmental protection.

### **Environmental laws and regulations**

All of the Company's exploration and production activities in Canada and the United States are subject to regulation by governmental agencies under various environmental laws. To the extent that the Company conducts exploration activities or undertakes new mining activities in other foreign countries, the Company will also be subject to environmental laws and regulations in those jurisdictions. These laws address emission into the air, discharges into water, management of waste, management of hazardous substances, protection of natural resources, antiquities and endangered species, and reclamation of lands disturbed by mining operations. Environmental legislation in many countries is evolving and the trend has been toward stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and increasing responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations may require significant capital outlays on behalf of the Company and may cause material changes or delays in the Company's intended activities. There can be no assurance that future changes in environmental regulations will not adversely affect the Company's business, and it is possible that future changes in these laws or regulations could have a significant adverse impact on some portion of the Company's business, causing the Company to re-evaluate those activities at that time.

### **Reserves and resource estimates**

There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of reserves and resources and the corresponding grades. Reserve and resource estimates are dependent partially on statistical inferences drawn from drilling, sampling and other data. Reserve and resource figures set forth by the Company are estimates, and there is no certainty that the mineral deposits would yield the production of metals indicated by reserve and resource estimates. Declines in the market price for metals may adversely affect the economics of a deposit and may require the Company to reduce its estimates.

### **Price volatility – gold, copper and other metals**

The market price for gold, copper and other metals is volatile and cannot be controlled. There is no assurance that if commercial quantities of gold, copper and other metals are discovered, a profitable market may exist or continue to exist for a production decision to be made or for the ultimate sale of the metals. As the Company is currently not in production, no sensitivity analysis for price changes has been provided or carried out.

### **Legal proceedings**

The Company, certain of its officers and directors, and the Galore Creek Mining Corporation were named as defendants in a consolidated securities class action lawsuit filed on December 22, 2008 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. This complaint consolidates similar complaints filed on August 7, September 9, and November 21, 2008. The plaintiff alleges violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933 on the basis of alleged misstatements and omissions in various public statements and filings between October 25, 2006 and November 23, 2007, including the April 16, 2007 registration statement, concerning the Galore Creek property. The plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount of damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

On June 5, 2009, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss in part, dismissing all of plaintiff's claims under the Securities Act of 1933 concerning the registration statement, dismissing all claims against Galore Creek Mining Corporation, and dismissing certain claims against the Company and its officers and directors under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company disputes the claims that remain against it and intends to contest the action vigorously. There can be no assurance that these proceedings will be resolved in favor of NovaGold and an adverse outcome of this litigation may have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition.

## Caution on forward-looking statements

The Management's Discussion and Analysis contains certain forward-looking statements concerning anticipated developments in NovaGold's operations in future periods. Forward-looking statements are frequently, but not always, identified by words such as "expects", "anticipates", "believes", "intends", "estimates", "potential", "possible" and similar expressions, or statements that events, conditions or results "will", "may", "could" or "should" occur or be achieved. These forward-looking statements are set forth principally under the heading "Outlook" and elsewhere in the Management's Discussion and Analysis and may include statements regarding ability to commence, or in the case of Rock Creek, recommence start-up; exploration results and budgets; mineral reserve and resource estimates; work programs; capital expenditures; timelines; strategic plans; market price of precious and base metals; or other statements that are not statement of fact. Forward-looking statements are statements about the future and are inherently uncertain, and actual achievements of NovaGold may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors. NovaGold's forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date the statements are made, and NovaGold does not assume any obligation to update forward-looking statements if circumstances or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions should change except as required by law. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from NovaGold's expectations include uncertainties involved in disputes and litigation; fluctuations in gold, copper and other commodity prices and currency exchange rates; uncertainties relating to interpretation of drill results and the geology, continuity and grade of mineral deposits; uncertainty of estimates of capital and operating costs, recovery rates, production estimates and estimated economic return; the need for cooperation of government agencies and native groups in the exploration and development of properties and the issuance of required permits; the need to obtain additional financing to develop properties and uncertainty as to the availability and terms of future financing; the possibility of delay in exploration or development programs or in construction projects and uncertainty of meeting anticipated program milestones; uncertainty as to timely availability of permits and other governmental approvals; uncertainties involved in the Company's outstanding securities litigation, including the possibility of appeal, the possible discovery of new evidence or acceptance of new legal theories and the difficulty of predicting the decision of judges and juries and other risks and uncertainties disclosed in NovaGold's Annual Information Form for the year ended November 30, 2008, filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities, NovaGold's annual report on Form 40-F filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), and other information released by NovaGold and filed with the appropriate regulatory agencies.

## Cautionary note concerning reserve and resource estimates

This Management's Discussion and Analysis and other information released by NovaGold uses the terms "resources", "measured resources", "indicated resources" and "inferred resources". United States investors are advised that, while such terms are recognized and required by Canadian securities laws, the SEC does not recognize them. Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. United States investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of measured or indicated resources will ever be converted into reserves. Inferred resources are in addition to measured and indicated resources. Further, inferred resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources exist, or that they can be mined legally or economically. National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all reserve and resource estimates contained in press releases by the Company in the past and in the future, have been or will be prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System. The requirements of NI 43-101 are not the same as those of the SEC, and reserves reported by NovaGold in compliance with NI 43-101 may not qualify as reserves under the SEC's standards.



## Consolidated Statements of Operations and Deficit – Unaudited

*in thousands of Canadian dollars,  
except for per share and share amounts*

	(Restated – note 3) Three months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Three months ended May 31, 2008 \$	(Restated – note 3) Six months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Six months ended May 31, 2008 \$
<b>Revenue</b>				
Land, gravel, gold and other revenue	226	167	574	1,069
Interest income	146	126	244	939
	372	293	818	2,008
<b>Cost of sales</b>	7	18	31	21
	365	275	787	1,987
<b>Expenses and other items</b>				
Corporate development and communication	455	616	522	879
Exploration	4,403	16,921	10,795	20,786
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(16,091)	747	(11,562)	(240)
General and administrative	956	1,458	2,212	2,999
Interest and accretion	4,164	(39)	12,395	-
Professional fees	1,308	918	2,166	1,561
Salaries	1,045	1,566	2,160	2,971
Salaries – stock-based compensation (note 10)	6,027	1,807	7,420	1,996
Project care and maintenance	6,879	3,801	15,477	3,801
Project suspension cost recovery	-	(2,196)	(648)	(32,596)
	9,146	25,599	40,937	2,157
Loss on dilution from equity investment	-	249	-	254
Loss (gain) from equity investment	-	363	-	(790)
Gain on disposal of investment	-	-	-	(15,278)
Gain on disposal of mineral properties	(1,563)	-	(1,563)	-
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	82	-	82	-
Non-controlling interest (note 4)	(1,371)	(803)	(3,224)	14,397
<b>Earnings (loss) for the period before income taxes</b>	(5,929)	(25,133)	(35,445)	1,247
Future income tax (recovery) expense	(1,160)	(1,948)	(2,193)	269
<b>Earnings (loss) for the period after income taxes</b>	<b>(4,769)</b>	<b>(23,185)</b>	<b>(33,252)</b>	<b>978</b>
<b>Deficit – beginning of period</b>	(627,377)	(379,759)	(598,894)	(403,922)
<b>Deficit – end of period</b>	(632,146)	(402,944)	(632,146)	(402,944)
<b>Earnings (loss) per share</b>				
Basic and diluted	(0.03)	(0.22)	(0.21)	0.01
<b>Weighted average number of shares (thousands)</b>				
Basic	182,213	105,152	160,863	105,096
Diluted	182,213	105,152	160,863	107,783

(See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements)

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income – Unaudited

in thousands of Canadian dollars

	Three months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Three months ended May 31, 2008 \$	Six months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Six months ended May 31, 2008 \$
Earnings (loss) for the period before other comprehensive income	(1,772)	(23,185)	(30,255)	978
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments	1,793	(177)	1,916	3,414
Realized gains on available-for-sale investments	-	-	-	(4,196)
Future income tax recovery	(577)	-	(577)	(172)
<b>Comprehensive earnings (loss)</b>	<b>(556)</b>	<b>(23,362)</b>	<b>(28,916)</b>	<b>24</b>

## Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity – Unaudited

	Six months ended May 31, 2009 \$	Year ended November 30, 2008 \$
<b>Share capital</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	776,237	760,468
Issued pursuant to private placement	61,481	-
Issued pursuant to stock option agreements	621	417
Issued pursuant to warrant agreements	5,653	15,352
Issued pursuant to debt conversion	24,210	-
Balance – end of period	868,202	776,237
<b>Equity component of convertible notes</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	43,352	-
Issuance of convertible notes	-	43,352
Balance – end of period	43,352	43,352
<b>Contributed surplus</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	9,994	820
Expiration of warrants	-	9,174
Balance – end of period	9,994	9,994
<b>Stock-based compensation</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	22,223	19,739
Stock option grants	8,060	2,484
Fair value of exercises	(178)	-
Balance – end of period	30,105	22,223
<b>Warrants</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	1,995	9,178
Expiration of warrants	-	(9,174)
Issuance of warrants	34,606	1,995
Fair value of exercises	(3,182)	(4)
Balance – end of period	33,419	1,995
<b>Deficit</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	(598,894)	(403,922)
Earnings (loss) for the period	(33,252)	(194,972)
Balance – end of period	(632,146)	(598,894)
<b>Accumulated other comprehensive income</b>		
Balance – beginning of period	(418)	15,927
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments	1,916	(1,151)
Realized gains on available-for-sale investments	-	(15,278)
Future income taxes on unrealized gains	(577)	84
Balance – end of period	921	(418)
<b>Total Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>353,847</b>	<b>254,489</b>

(See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements)

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Unaudited

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	Three months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Three months ended May 31, 2008 \$	Six months ended May 31, 2009 \$	(Restated – note 3) Six months ended May 31, 2008 \$
<b>Cash flows used in operating activities</b>				
Earnings (loss) for the period	(4,769)	(23,185)	(33,252)	978
Items not affecting cash				
Exploration	3,342	-	8,556	-
Amortization	90	69	163	134
Interest and accretion	297	-	8,528	-
Future income tax (recovery) expense	(1,160)	(1,948)	(2,194)	269
Foreign exchange gain	(16,129)	(798)	(12,752)	(1,702)
Stock-based compensation	6,175	1,807	7,568	1,996
Gain on sale of investments (note 8)	-	-	-	(15,278)
Gain on dilution from equity investment	-	363	-	(790)
Gain on disposal of mineral properties	(1,563)	-	(1,563)	-
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	82	-	82	-
Loss from equity investment	-	248	-	253
Project suspension recovery	-	-	(648)	(30,400)
Non-controlling interest	(1,371)	(803)	(3,224)	14,397
Net change in non-cash working capital				
Decrease in GST and other receivables, deposits and prepaid amounts	985	10,956	2,494	12,275
Decrease (increase) in inventories	(92)	(267)	(94)	32
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	3,267	9,475	(4,421)	6,441
Decrease in suspension costs – short term	-	(20,318)	-	(25,220)
Decrease in suspension costs – long term	-	(20,370)	(453)	(30,174)
	(10,846)	(44,771)	(31,210)	(66,789)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>				
Repayment of credit facility	-	(10,000)	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of common shares – net	281	-	93,601	293
Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes – net	-	93,194	-	93,194
Proceeds from non-controlling interest	766	36,021	8,074	45,778
Proceeds from warrant exercise	1,323	-	2,471	14
	2,370	119,215	104,146	139,279
<b>Cash flows used in investing activities</b>				
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	(11,927)	(44,970)	(25,190)	(150,130)
Expenditures on power project development (Expenditures) recovery on mineral properties and related deferred costs	(1,510)	6,126	(1,621)	(51,142)
Decrease in restricted cash	-	4,600	-	58,600
Decrease (increase) in reclamation deposits	-	(2,300)	(110)	5,990
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	155	(7)	236	(290)
Proceeds on sale of investments	-	-	3,769	18,811
Investment in Donlin Creek	(986)	(13,869)	(6,156)	(13,869)
	(14,268)	(50,652)	(29,072)	(132,802)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents during the period	(22,745)	23,792	43,864	(60,312)
Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of period	78,833	13,812	12,224	97,916
Cash and cash equivalents – end of period	56,088	37,604	56,088	37,604
<b>Supplemental disclosure</b>				
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and other liabilities related to mineral properties and property, plant and equipment	(9,897)	(141)	(25,865)	(73,028)
Bridge loan converted into shares	-	-	25,178	-
Interest received	59	367	146	1,380
Interest paid	(3,227)	(119)	(3,227)	(119)

(See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements)

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

## 1 Nature of operations

NovaGold Resources Inc. (“NovaGold” or “the Company”) is a precious metals company engaged in the exploration of mineral properties in North America. The Company has a portfolio of mineral properties located in Alaska and British Columbia. Construction of the Rock Creek mine, located in Nome, Alaska, commenced in the fall of 2006. Start-up, system testing and commissioning activities began in September 2008 and were suspended in November 2008.

The Donlin Creek project is held by a limited liability company owned equally by NovaGold and Barrick Gold U.S. Inc. (“Barrick”). The Galore Creek project is held by a partnership owned equally by NovaGold and Teck Resources Limited (“Teck”).

## 2 Accounting policies

### Basis of presentation

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in Canada (“Canadian GAAP”) for interim reporting and include the accounts of NovaGold Resources Inc. and its material wholly-owned subsidiaries, NovaGold Canada Inc., Alaska Gold Company and NovaGold Resources Alaska, Inc. These consolidated financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. All significant inter-company transactions are eliminated on consolidation. In addition, the Company consolidates variable interest entities for which it is determined to be the primary beneficiary.

As these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements do not contain all of the disclosures required by Canadian GAAP, they should be read in conjunction with the notes to the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2008.

The accounting policies followed by the Company are set out in note 3 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2008, and have been consistently followed in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements except that the Company has adopted the following CICA standards effective December 1, 2008.

### Inventories

In March 2007, the CICA issued the new Handbook Section 3031, “Inventories”, which replaces Section 3030, “Inventories”. The new Section mentions that inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and the net realizable value. It provides guidelines on determining cost, prohibiting going forward the use of the last in, first out method (“LIFO”), and requires the reversal of a previous write-down when the value of inventories increases. On December 1, 2008, the Company adopted these changes, with no impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### Goodwill and intangible assets

The CICA issued the new Handbook Section 3064, “Goodwill and Intangible Assets”, which replaces Section 3062, “Goodwill and Intangible Assets”. The new standard establishes revised standards for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of goodwill and intangible assets. The new standard also provides guidance for the treatment of pre-production and start-up costs and requires that these costs be expensed as incurred. On December 1, 2008, the Company adopted these changes, with no impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### Comparative figures

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the financial statement presentation adopted for the current year.

## 3 Change in accounting policy

During the year ended November 30, 2008, the Company retrospectively changed its accounting policy for exploration expenditures to more appropriately align itself with policies applied by other comparable companies at a similar stage in the mining industry. Prior to the year ended November 30, 2008, the Company capitalized all such costs to mineral properties held directly or through an investment and only wrote down capitalized costs when the property was abandoned or if the capitalized costs were not considered to be economically recoverable.

Exploration expenditures are now charged to earnings as they are incurred until the mineral property reaches the development stage. Significant costs related to property acquisitions, including allocations for undeveloped mineral interests, are capitalized until the viability of the mineral interest is determined. When it has been established that a mineral deposit is

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 3 Change in accounting policy (cont.)

commercially mineable and an economic analysis has been completed, the costs subsequently incurred to develop a mine on the property prior to the start of mining operations are capitalized. The impact of this change on the previously reported May 31, 2008 consolidated financial statements is as follows.

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	As previously reported \$	Restatement \$	As restated \$
Mineral properties and related deferred costs at May 31, 2008	368,669	(128,797)	239,872
Investments at May 31, 2008	118,968	(107,608)	11,360
Future income taxes at May 31, 2008	(46,440)	35,795	(10,645)
Exploration expenses for the six months ended May 31, 2008	268	20,518	20,786
Future income tax expense for the six months ended May 31, 2008	1,774	(1,505)	269
Earnings for the six months ended May 31, 2008	19,991	(19,013)	978
Basic earnings per share for the six months ended May 31, 2008	0.19	(0.18)	0.01
Diluted earnings per share for the six months ended May 31, 2008	0.19	(0.18)	0.01
Deficit at May 31, 2008	(143,666)	(259,278)	(402,944)

### 4 Galore Creek Partnership

On November 26, 2007, the Company and Teck announced that construction activities would be suspended at the Galore Creek project in order to undertake a comprehensive review of the overall design plan when it was recognized that industry wide cost increases and an extension of the anticipated project schedule would likely result in significantly higher cost estimates for the project. At that time, the terms of Teck's initial contribution into the Partnership were amended. Under the amended arrangements, in addition to Teck's funding from August 1, 2007 to the year ended November 30, 2007 of \$264 million, Teck's total committed investment in the Partnership would be \$403 million, including \$72 million to be invested in the Partnership over the next five years principally to reassess and evaluate the project's alternative development strategies. Excluding costs covered by Teck's \$72 million above, the Company and Teck agreed to share the next \$100 million of project costs 33% and 67% respectively, and share project costs on a 50/50 basis thereafter.

In February 2009, the Company and Teck renegotiated the Partnership agreement to reduce the \$72 million to \$60 million, and from November 1, 2008 onwards Teck has agreed to fund all of the costs at the project until the \$60 million is fully spent.

Remaining suspension related activities, estimated at November 30, 2008 to cost approximately \$1.1 million, were fully completed during the three-month period ended February 28, 2009 and funded entirely by Teck.

Teck's contributions to date have been recorded as non-controlling interest as follows.

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	<b>May 31, 2009</b>
Balance November 30, 2008	291,231
Contributions by Teck	8,075
Teck's share of suspension recoveries	324
Teck's share of care and maintenance costs	(3,549)
<b>Balance at May 31, 2009</b>	<b>296,081</b>

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 5 Property, plant and equipment

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

<b>May 31, 2009</b>				
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Additions/ (Dispositions)</b>	<b>Accumulated amortization</b>	<b>Net</b>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>Alaska, USA</b>				
Construction costs – Rock Creek	90,519	-	-	90,519
Mining and milling equipment – Rock Creek	14,792	550	-	15,342
Heavy machinery and equipment	2,408	-	(353)	2,055
<b>British Columbia, Canada</b>				
Construction costs – Galore Creek	325,043	(379)	-	324,664
Mobile equipment – Galore Creek	38,048	-	-	38,048
Office furniture and equipment	1,648	-	(1,180)	468
Leasehold improvements	575	-	(241)	334
	<b>473,033</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>(1,774)</b>	<b>471,430</b>

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

<b>November 30, 2008</b>			
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Accumulated amortization</b>	<b>Net</b>
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Alaska, USA</b>			
Construction costs – Rock Creek	90,519	-	90,519
Mining and milling equipment – Rock Creek	14,792	-	14,792
Heavy machinery and equipment	2,404	(334)	2,070
<b>British Columbia, Canada</b>			
Construction costs – Galore Creek	324,711	-	324,711
Mobile equipment – Galore Creek	38,048	-	38,048
Office furniture and equipment	1,648	(1,030)	618
Leasehold improvements	575	(212)	363
	<b>472,697</b>	<b>(1,576)</b>	<b>471,121</b>

### 6 Mineral properties, rights and development costs

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	<b>November 30, 2008</b>	<b>Expenditures (Amortization)</b>	<b>May 31, 2009</b>
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Alaska, USA</b>			
Rock Creek (a)	6,717	-	6,717
<b>British Columbia, Canada</b>			
Galore Creek	183,597	742	184,339
Power transmission rights	55,668	(667)	55,001
	<b>245,982</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>246,057</b>

(a) Rock Creek, Alaska

Site costs incurred during the six months ended May 31, 2009 are expensed as care and maintenance.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 7 Investments

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

<b>May 31, 2009</b>			
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Carrying</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>holding gains</b>	<b>value</b>
		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Available-for-sale investments (a)			
Other investments (b)	2,236	1,498	3,734
Investments accounted for under the equity method			
Donlin Creek LLC (c)			1,206
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>2,236</b>	<b>1,498</b>	<b>4,940</b>

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

<b>November 30, 2008</b>			
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Carrying</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>holding losses</b>	<b>value</b>
		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Available-for-sale investments (a)			
Other investments (b)	673	(418)	255
Investments accounted for under the equity method			
Alexco Resource Corp. (d)	-	-	3,769
Donlin Creek LLC (c)	-	-	3,197
	-	-	6,966
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>(418)</b>	<b>7,221</b>

- (a) Investments classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value (or marked-to-market) based on quoted market prices, with unrealized gains or losses excluded from earnings and reported as other comprehensive income or loss.
- (b) Included in “Other investments” are 126,625 shares (cost: \$5,000; fair value at May 31, 2009: \$59,514) in Etruscan Resources Inc., a company having two directors in common with the Company, and 3,125,000 shares (cost: \$1,562,500; fair value at May 31, 2009: \$2,968,750) in Mantra Mining Inc., a company having one director in common with the Company.
- (c) On December 1, 2007, together with Barrick, the Company formed a limited liability company (“Donlin Creek LLC”) to advance the Donlin Creek project. As part of the Donlin Creek LLC agreement, the Company agreed to reimburse Barrick over time approximately US\$64.3 million, representing 50% of Barrick’s approximately US\$128.6 million expenditures at the Donlin Creek project from April 1, 2006 to November 30, 2007. Reimbursement had been made by the Company paying US\$12.7 million of Barrick’s share of project development costs during 2008. A promissory note for the remaining US\$51.6 million plus interest at a rate of US prime plus 2% will be paid out of future mine production cash flow. Both parties are sharing costs on a 50/50 basis. Interest on this long-term debt is expensed.
- (d) During the three months ended February 28, 2009, the Company sold its entire investment in Alexco Resource Corp. (“Alexco”) for net proceeds of \$3.8 million. At November 30, 2008 the investment was written down to the fair value of the net proceeds expected to be received therefore, no gain or loss was recorded on the sale. At November 30, 2008, the Company owned 6,352,978 shares of Alexco and accounted for this investment using the equity method as it was a related party, having two directors in common with the Company.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 8 Convertible notes

On March 26, 2008, the Company issued US\$95.0 million (\$96.7 million) in 5.5% unsecured senior convertible notes (“Notes”) maturing on May 1, 2015, and incurred a 3.0% underwriter’s fee and other expenses, aggregating \$3.5 million, for net proceeds of \$93.2 million. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning November 1, 2008. The Notes are convertible into the Company’s common shares at a fixed conversion rate of US\$10.61 per common share. A total of 8,952,971 common shares are issuable upon conversion and additional shares may become issuable following the occurrence of certain corporate acts or events. On conversion, at the Company’s election, holders of the Notes will receive cash, if applicable, or a combination of cash and shares. Holders of the Notes will have the right to require the Company to repurchase all or part of their Notes on May 1, 2013 and upon certain fundamental corporate changes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of such Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

The Notes are classified as a liability, less the portion relating to the conversion feature (\$43.4 million) which is classified as a component of shareholders’ equity. As a result, the recorded liability to repay the Notes is lower than its face value. Using the effective interest rate method and the 17.03% rate implicit in the calculation, the difference of \$43.4 million, characterized as the note discount, is being capitalized to certain projects funded by this offering and charged to interest expense for projects that do not meet the criteria to capitalize, and added to the liability over the term of the Notes.

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	<b>May 31, 2009</b>	<b>November 30, 2008</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Convertible notes balance – beginning of period	63,573	-
Present value of convertible notes on issue	-	51,718
Financing costs allocated to debt component	-	(1,884)
Accretion of debt discount for the period	2,373	2,621
Foreign exchange revaluation	(7,716)	11,118
Convertible notes balance – end of period	<b>58,230</b>	<b>63,573</b>
Conversion right	44,992	44,992
Financing costs allocated to equity component	(1,640)	(1,640)
Equity component of convertible notes	<b>43,352</b>	<b>43,352</b>

### 9 Bridge loan

On September 26, 2008, the Company secured a bridge loan in the amount of US\$20.0 million for proceeds of US\$18.8 million, net of commitment fees of US\$1.2 million, from Auramet Trading, LLC (“Auramet”). The bridge loan was to mature on December 29, 2008 and bore interest at a rate of 12.0% per annum. Auramet had the right to convert the principal amount of the bridge loan into common shares of the Company at a price of \$12.00 per common share. The Company also issued to Auramet warrants to purchase 750,000 common shares of the Company at an exercise price of \$7.18 per common share at any time before September 25, 2010. The Company granted to Auramet a security interest in the Rock Creek mine and a pledge of securities in certain material subsidiaries and guarantees. At November 30, 2008, the bridge loan was classified as a long term liability, less the portion relating to the warrants (\$2.0 million) which was classified as a component of shareholders’ equity.

On December 29, 2008, Auramet extended the repayment of the bridge loan to March 13, 2009. As consideration, the Company paid an extension fee of US\$1.2 million, increased the annual interest rate to 15.0% and re-priced the conversion of the loan and the 750,000 warrants previously issued to the five day weighted average price of the Company’s common stock at that time of \$1.53. The Company also issued an additional 1,000,000 warrants priced at \$1.53 with an expiry date of December 29, 2010.

In January and February 2009, Auramet converted the entire US\$20.0 million bridge loan into common shares and exercised 750,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$1.53 per share for proceeds of \$1.1 million. In April and May 2009, Auramet exercised 865,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$1.53 per share for proceeds of \$1.3 million.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 9 Bridge loan (cont.)

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	May 31, 2009 \$	November 30, 2008 \$
Bridge loan balance – beginning of period	23,446	-
Extension of bridge loan	(9,557)	18,561
Financing costs allocated to debt component	(968)	(1,235)
Accretion of debt discount for the period	3,883	2,637
Foreign exchange revaluation	(189)	3,483
Conversion of bridge loan	(16,615)	-
Bridge loan balance – end of period	-	<b>23,446</b>
Conversion right of extension	8,125	-
Financing costs allocated to equity component	(530)	-
Conversion of bridge loan	(7,595)	-
Equity component – end of period	-	-
Warrant component – beginning of period	1,995	-
Warrant component of issuance	1,391	2,137
Financing costs allocated to warrant component	(90)	(142)
Exercise of warrants	(3,182)	-
Fair value of warrants – end of period	<b>114</b>	<b>1,995</b>

### 10 Share capital

Authorized

1,000,000,000 common shares, no par value

10,000,000 preferred shares issuable in one or more series

*in thousands of Canadian dollars*

	Number of shares (thousands)	Ascribed value \$
<b>Balance at November 30, 2008</b>	107,509	776,237
Issued in quarter		
For cash pursuant to private placements	57,692	61,480
Pursuant to debt conversion	15,763	24,210
For cash and fair value pursuant to stock option agreements	78	262
For cash and fair value pursuant to warrant agreements	750	3,607
<b>Balance at February 28, 2009</b>	181,792	865,796
Issued in quarter		
For cash and fair value pursuant to stock option agreements	215	360
For cash and fair value pursuant to warrant agreements	865	2,046
<b>Balance at May 31, 2009</b>	182,872	868,202
Shares held by a wholly-owned subsidiary eliminated on consolidation	9	-
<b>Total issued and outstanding</b>	<b>182,881</b>	<b>868,202</b>

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

### 10 Share capital (cont.)

#### (a) Issuance of common shares

In January 2009, the Company issued by way of private placement 57,692,308 Units for gross proceeds of US\$75.0 million. Each Unit consists of one common share of the Company and one common share purchase warrant of the Company. Each share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share of the Company at a price of US\$1.50 on or before January 21, 2013.

As the Units contained multiple equity components, consisting of common shares and share purchase warrants, the Company used the relative-fair-value approach to allocate the proceeds. The fair value of the share purchase warrants was calculated using the Black-Scholes model based on an average risk-free interest rate of 1.13%, expected life of 4 years and an expected volatility of 64.6%. As a result, the common shares were recorded at \$61.5 million, and the share purchase warrants were recorded at \$33.3 million, both inclusive of transaction costs.

#### (b) Stock options

The Company has a stock option plan providing for the issuance of options at a rolling maximum number that shall not be greater than 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company at any given time. The Company may grant options to its directors, officers, employees and service providers. The exercise price of each option cannot be lower than the market price of the shares at the date of grant of the option. The number of shares optioned to any single optionee may not exceed 5% of the issued and outstanding shares at the date of grant. The options are exercisable immediately for a maximum of 5 years from the date of grant or may be exercisable 1/3 three months after commencement of employment, 1/3 at the first anniversary date and 1/3 at the second anniversary date for a maximum of 5 years from the date of grant.

During the three months ended February 28, 2009, the Company granted 2,973,000 stock options (three months ended February 29, 2008: 95,000). For the three months ended February 28, 2009, the Company recognized a stock-based compensation charge of \$1.7 million for options granted to directors and employees in accordance with CICA 3870 net of forfeitures, of which \$0.3 million was allocated to care and maintenance and exploration costs and \$1.4 million was charged into income.

During the three months ended May 31, 2009, the Company granted 4,543,750 stock options (three months ended May 31, 2008: 1,552,450). For the three months ended May 31, 2009, the Company recognized a stock-based compensation charge of \$6.0 million into income for options granted to directors and employees in accordance with CICA 3870 net of forfeitures.

The fair value of the stock options recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and deficit has been estimated using an option pricing model. Assumptions used in the pricing model for each year are as provided below.

	Vesting during six months ended May 31, 2009	Granted during six months ended May 31, 2009
Average risk-free interest rate	0.44% – 4.73%	0.44% – 1.73%
Expected life	1.00 – 4.27 years	1.00 – 3.71 years
Expected volatility	44.2% – 101.3%	70% – 97%
Expected dividends	Nil	Nil

The Black-Scholes and other option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions that can materially affect the fair value estimate and therefore do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options.

#### (c) Warrants

On December 29, 2008, as part of the extension of the bridge loan discussed in note 9, 1.0 million share purchase warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$1.53 per share with a two-year term. Additionally, the 750,000 share purchase warrants issued on the original bridge loan were re-priced at \$1.53 per share as part of this agreement. Of these warrants, 750,000 were exercised for proceeds of \$1.1 million during the three-month period ended February 28, 2009. A further 865,000 warrants were exercised for proceeds of \$1.3 million during the three-month period ended May 31, 2009. Subsequent to May 31, 2009, 1,497,340 warrants were exercised at a price of US\$1.50 for each warrant for gross proceeds of US\$2.2 million.

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited

## 10 Share capital (cont.)

### (d) Performance share units

The Company has a long term incentive plan which provides for the issuance of performance share units (“PSUs”) in amounts as approved by the Company’s Compensation Committee. On May 29, 2009, the Company granted 521,550 PSUs to employees and consultants. Each PSU entitles the participant to receive one common share of the Company at the end of a one year period if certain performance and vesting criteria have been met. The performance and vesting criteria are based on the Company’s performance relative to a representative group of other mining companies and the Toronto Stock Exchange index.

The fair value of PSUs is determined using a valuation model that takes into account, as of the grant date, the expected life of the PSU, expected volatility, expected dividend yield and the risk-free interest rate over the life of the PSU, to generate potential outcomes for stock prices which are used to estimate the probability of the PSUs vesting at the end of the performance measurement period. The fair value of each PSU is recorded as compensation expense over the vesting period. For the period ended May 31, 2009, no compensation charge has been recorded.

## 11 Segmented information

The Company’s revenues and cost of sales from external customers are generated from one reportable operating segment: sales from land and gravel and gold royalties from its operations located in Nome, Alaska. The Company’s property, plant and equipment and exploration assets are located in the United States and Canada and the geographical breakdown is shown in notes 5 and 6.

## 12 Commitments and contingencies

### (a) Lease commitments

As at May 31, 2009, the Company’s aggregate commitments for operating leases totaled \$5.8 million. These operating leases include the Company’s leased head office location and certain office equipment ranging from one to ten years.

### (b) Legal actions

The Company, certain of its officers and directors, and the Galore Creek Mining Corporation were named as defendants in a consolidated securities class action lawsuit filed on December 22, 2008 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. This complaint consolidates similar complaints filed on August 7, September 9, and November 21, 2008. The plaintiff alleges violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933 on the basis of alleged misstatements and omissions in various public statements and filings between October 25, 2006 and November 23, 2007, including the April 16, 2007 registration statement, concerning the Galore Creek property. The plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount of damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

On June 5, 2009, the court granted defendants’ motion to dismiss in part, dismissing all of the plaintiff’s claims under the Securities Act of 1933 concerning the registration statement, dismissing all claims against Galore Creek Mining Corporation, and dismissing certain claims against the Company and its officers and directors under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company disputes the claims that remain against it and intends to contest the action vigorously. There can be no assurance that these proceedings will be resolved in favor of NovaGold and an unfavorable outcome of this litigation may have a material adverse impact on the Company’s financial condition.

### (c) Environmental

On July 2, 2009, AGC received a Notice of Violation (“NOV”) from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (“ADEC”). In the NOV, ADEC alleges that AGC violated the terms of its Waste Management Permit at the Rock Creek mine by failing to comply with the water treatment and injection requirements of the mine’s Temporary Closure Plan. The NOV requires that AGC submit a plan to ADEC by July 15, 2009, detailing how AGC intends to comply with the requirements of its Temporary Closure Plan. AGC is currently in ongoing dialogue with ADEC and intends to meet this deadline. If AGC cannot comply with the requirements of the Temporary Closure Plan, financial penalties may be assessed.